# **ERIA Collaborative RESEARCH REPORT**

# Regional Waste Management – Inter-municipal Cooperation and Public and Private Partnership

**Edited By** 

Michikazu Kojima





#### Regional Waste Management -

### Inter-municipal Cooperation and Public and Private Partnership

Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA)
Sentral Senayan II 6<sup>th</sup> Floor
Jalan Asia Afrika no.8, Gelora Bung Karno
Senayan, Jakarta Pusat 12710
Indonesia

Institute of Developing Economies

Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO)

3-2-2 Wakaba, Mihama-ku, Chiba-shi, Chiba, 261-8545

Japan

© Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia and Institute of Developing Economies, Japan External Trade Organization(IDE-JETRO), 2020

ERIA Research Project Report FY2020 No. 12

Published in October 2020

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form by any means electronic or mechanical without prior written notice to and permission from ERIA and IDE-JETRO.

The findings, interpretations, conclusions, and views expressed in their respective chapters are entirely those of the author/s and do not reflect the views and policies of the Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia, its Governing Board, Academic Advisory Council, or the institutions and governments they represent. Any error in content or citation in the respective chapters is the sole responsibility of the author/s.

Material in this publication may be freely quoted or reprinted with proper acknowledgement.

## **Preface**

This report is the outcome of a Collaborative Research Project between the Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA) and the Institute of Developing Economies, Japan External Trade Organization (IDE–JETRO), titled 'Regional Waste Management – Inter-municipal Cooperation and Public and Private Partnership'.

Most of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) countries are facing challenges to improve waste management. Traditionally, urban areas had been the main target of waste management, to prevent the spread of infectious waste. The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the importance of collection and proper treatment of not only clinical waste, but also municipal solid waste, which may contain infectious waste. ASEAN countries have also experienced air and water pollution from open dumping sites and incineration plants without pollution control. Such pollution has resulted in residents opposing the construction and operation of waste treatment and disposal facilities.

Recently, the marine plastic debris issue has moved to the top of the agenda in the negotiations on global environmental problems. Southeast Asian countries are regarded as major sources of marine plastic debris. To prevent leakage of plastic waste into the ocean, governments should provide waste collection services not only in urban area, but also in rural areas. In addition, collected waste should be treated and disposed properly. Even if waste is collected, without pollution control plastic waste and microplastics may leak from dumping sites.

Some waste treatment and disposal technologies, including sanitary landfills and waste-to-energy plants, exhibit economies of scale. To achieve economies of scale in waste management, each country should consider introducing regional waste management schemes, in which municipalities jointly use waste treatment and disposal facilities.

This report reviews efforts on regional waste management in Asian countries, especially Japan, the Philippines, Indonesia, and Thailand.

In the course of this project, we conducted interviews and site visits in several countries.

In addition, we organised two seminars in Indonesia and Thailand, and had discussions

with various stakeholders from central and local governments and private companies, and

experts, researchers, and others. We would like to express our special thanks to the

stakeholders, especially the co-organisers of the seminars – the Ministry of Environment

and Forestry of Indonesia, the Department of Local Administration of the Ministry of

Interior in Thailand, the National Municipal League of Thailand, the Solid Waste

Management Association of Thailand, and the Faculty of Environment Management of

Prince of Songkhla University. We would also like to thank the Ministry of Environment,

Japan for the financial contribution to ERIA's research projects on recycling and waste

management, including this project.

We hope this report will contribute to some initiatives on regional waste management in

the region.

Michikazu Kojima

Senior Economist

Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia

iν

## **List of Project Members**

**Michikazu Kojima** is a Senior Economist at the Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA). He is seconded to ERIA from the Institute of Developing Economies, Japan External Trade Organization (IDE–JETRO). He has studied environmental issues, such as international trade of recyclable waste, waste management, and recycling in Asian countries. He is co-editor of International Trade in Recyclable and Hazardous Waste in Asia (2013).

**Akiko Hiratsuka-Sasaki** is a research management officer at IDE–JETRO in Japan. Since 2019, she has been a visiting fellow at the United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD) in Geneva, Switzerland. After acquiring a MSc in Spatial Planning from the Royal Institute of Technology in Sweden, she worked with municipalities and local communities as a rural planning consultant in Japan from 2009 to 2013. Her research interests include local environmental governance, international environmental cooperation at local level, sustainable city planning, and just transitions.

**Shunsuke Kimura** is Dean of the Graduate School of Global Governance, Meiji University, where he is also a professor. He has experience working as a national government official at the Ministry of Internal Affairs. He now studies and teaches Public Administration including Local Administration. He is a committee member of the Asian Association of Public Administration (AAPA). His publications include Regional Administration in Japan (2017) and Decentralization and Development of Sri Lanka Within a Unitary State (2017).

**Toshiaki Sasao** is Professor of Environmental Economics at the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences of Iwate University, Japan. His research focuses on waste management and policy. He has published several articles in journals such as Waste Management and Environmental Economics and Policy Studies and his books include Handbook on Waste Management (edited by T.C. Kinnaman and K. Takeuchi, 2014).

Vella Atienza is an Associate Professor at the Institute for Governance and Rural Development, College of Public Affairs and Development, University of the Philippines (UP) Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines. Upon earning her Ph.D in Asia Pacific Studies from the Ritsumeikan Asia Pacific University (APU), Beppu, Japan, she worked as a Research Fellow at IDE–JETRO from April 2009 to March 2012. Her research focuses on environmental governance in waste management in the Philippines and other Asian countries; development management and governance; and sustainable agriculture. Her most recent publication is a chapter in a book, 'Waste Management in the Philippines' in A. Pariatamby, F.S. Hamid, and M.S. Bhatti (eds.), Sustainable Waste Management Challenges in Developing Countries (2020).

**Dr. Enri Damanhuri** is Professor at the Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Institut Teknologi Bandung (ITB), Indonesia. He obtained his degree of Docteur Ingenieur from University of Paris VII (France) in 1987 in Chemistry of Environmental Pollution. His research is focused on alleviating the problems associated with solid and hazardous waste management. He is editor of Post-Consumer Waste Recycling and Optimal Production (2012).

**Takayuki Higashikata** is an associate senior research fellow at the Institute of Developing Economies (IDE–JETRO). He joined IDE in 2003 after graduating from Kyoto University. From 2010 to 2012, he was a visiting scholar at the Institute for Economic and Social Research (LPEM), University of Indonesia. His research explores the Indonesian economy, with a focus on poverty reduction, urbanisation, and voting behaviour in general elections.

**Poome Petkanjanapong** is a lecturer and researcher at the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Loei Rajabhat University, Thailand. He has a background in political science and sociology. His research focuses on waste management, natural resource management, environmental policy, and political decentralisation. He also participates in some community development projects that focus on sustainable tourism and agricultural waste.

# **Table of Contents**

	List of Figures	viii
	List of Tables	х
	List of Abbreviations	xii
Chapter 1	Regional Waste Management in Asia	1
	Michikazu Kojima	
Chapter 2	Inter-Municipal Cooperation and Regional Waste Management in Japan	10
	Shunsuke Kimura	
Chapter 3	Inter-Municipal Cooperation on Solid Waste Management in Japan:	61
	Its Challenges and Implications for ASEAN Countries	
	Akiko Hiratsuka-Sasaki and Michikazu Kojima	
Chapter 4	Cost Efficiency of Regional Waste Management and Contracting Out to Private Companies	83
	Toshiaki Sasao	
Chapter 5	Promoting Local Collaboration on Waste Management: Lessons from Selected Cases in the Philippines	122
	Vella Atienza	
Chapter 6	Internal and External Factors in the Development of Regional Waste Cooperation in the Greater Bandung Region	135
	Enri Damanhuri	
Chapter 7	The Effect of Local Government Separation on Public Service Provision in Indonesia:	154
	A Case of Garbage Pickup Services in Urban Areas Takayuki Higashikata	
Chapter 8	Clustering and Public–Private Partnerships: The Tools of Municipal Solid Waste Management Reformation in Thailand	167
	Poome Petkanjanapong	

# List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Gross Domestic Product (expenditure, nominal) and Local Public Finance (FY2017)	17
Figure 2.2	Share of Expenditures by Purpose of Central and Local Governments (final expenditure based)	18
Figure 2.3	Population Projections for Japan	19
Figure 2.4	Relationship Between Size and Political Competence in Local Government	21
Figure 2.5	Need for Regional Administrative Involvement	21
Figure 2.6	Regional Governence	23
Figure 2.7	Change in Number of Basic Local Government Municipalities	25
Figure 2.8	Change in Number of Affiliated Entities of IMC	30
Figure 2.9	Change in Number of Affairs of IMC	31
Figure 2.10	The Structure of a Partial Affairs Association	32
Figure 2.11	Number of Affiliated Entities of Partial Affairs Association (FY2018)	34
Figure 2.12	Change in Number of Affairs	35
Figure 2.13	Relationship Between the Number of Municipalities and that of Inter-Municipal Cooperation by Prefecture $(r = .489)$	36
Figure 2.14	Relationship Between the Number of Municipalities per Prefecture and the Number of Partial Affairs Associations per Prefecture ( $r = .821$ )	37
Figure 2.15	Structure of Partial Affairs Associations	38
Figure 2.16	Required Items of Partial Affairs Associations	39
Figure 2.17	Partial Affairs Associations' Administrator: Change of Election Methods	40
Figure 2.18	Types of Problems with Partial Affairs Associations	44
Figure 2.19	Distribution of Affiliates as of 2018	45
Figure 2.20	Relationship of the Number of Municipalities and the Number of Mini-Partial Affairs Associations by Prefecture	45

Figure 2.21	Change in Number of Partial Affairs Associations by Number of Affiliated Entities	46
Figure 2.22	Affairs, Delegation of Duty	47
Figure 2.23	Types of Problems with the Delegation of Duties	48
Figure 2.24	Garbage Disposal, Situation of Cooperation (FY2018)	49
Figure 2.25	Average Number of Affiliated Entities (FY 2018)	50
Figure 2.26	Garbage Disposal Cooperation, Change of Number	51
Figure 2.27	Garbage Disposal: Change in Numbers	52
Figure 2.28	Garbage Disposal: Change of Number of Affiliated Entities	53
Figure 2.29	Expenditure Size of Environment: Public Affairs Associations and other Municipal Methods	54
Figure 2.30	Change of Environmental Sanitation Expense by Function	54
	(FY2011/2010)	
Figure 2.31	Change of Environmental Sanitation Expense by Characteristics (FY2011/2010)	55
Figure 2.32	Environment Public Affairs Associations: Change of Expenditure Size	55
Figure 2.33	Number of Cases, Delegation of Duties of Environment	56
Figure 2.34	Survey at Osaka Bay	57
Figure 2.35	Plastic Garbage Harvested from Osaka Bay	57
Figure 3.1	Waste Disposal Methods by Region	63
Figure 3.2	SWOT Analysis of Inter-municipal Cooperation on MSW Management in Japan	76
Figure 6.1	West Java Province	137
Figure 6.2	Scheme Cooperation for Waste-to Energy Development	147
	in TPPAS Legok Nangka	
Figure 7.1	IFLS Communities in Urban Areas (2000)	159
Figure 7.2	Descriptive Statistics: Access to Public Garbage Pickup Service by Households in Districts that did or did not Experience a Split from 1993 to 2014	160
Figure 8.1	Types of Public–Private Partnership	174

# List of Tables

Table 1.1	Some Cases of Regional Waste Management in India	4
Table 1.2	Types of Regional Municipal Solid Waste Management	6
Table 2.1	Classification and Number of Ordinary Local Governments (as of 1 July 2019)	12
Table 2.2	Authority and Responsibilities of Three Tiers of Government, Japan	15
Table 2.3	Changes in the Number of Municipalities	24
Table 2.4	Comparison of Countries	27
Table 2.5	Types of Inter-Municipal Cooperation	29
Table 2.6	Merits of Partial Affairs Associations	33
Table 2.7	Issues of Wide-area Government (as of 31 December 2012)	43
Table 3.1	Inter-municipal Cooperation for MSW in Three Perspectives	65
Table 3.2	Different Types of Regional Cooperation and Centralisation	68
Table 4.1	Literature of Economies of Scale and Contracting out to Private Companies in Waste Management	88
Table 4.2	Descriptive Statistics of Municipalities and Inter-Municipalities for Waste Collection in Japan	93
Table 4.3	Descriptive Statistics of Municipalities and Inter-Municipalities for Intermediate Disposal in Japan	94
Table 4.4	Descriptive Statistics of Municipalities and Inter-Municipalities for Final Disposal in Japan	95
Table 4.5	Descriptive Statistics of Municipalities and Inter-Municipalities for Collection in Japan	97
Table 4.6	Descriptive Statistics of Municipalities and Inter-Municipalities for Intermediate Disposal in Japan	99
Table 4.7	Descriptive Statistics of Municipalities and Inter-Municipalities for Final Disposal in Japan	101

Table 4.8	Estimation Results of Average Costs of Waste Collection in Japan	103
Table 4.9	Estimation Results of Average Costs of Intermediate Disposal in Japan	104
Table 4.10	Estimation Results of Average Costs of Final Disposal in Japan	104
Table 4.11	Estimation Results of Average Costs of Waste Collection in Japan	108
Table 4.12	Estimation Results of Average Costs of Intermediate Disposal in Japan	110
Table 4.13	Estimation Results of Average Costs of Final Disposal in Japan	112
Table 4.14	Descriptive Statistics in the Philippines	117
Table 4.15	Estimation Results of Waste Management Costs in the Philippines	118
Table 6.1	Internal–external Analysis the Role of BPSR	143
Table 6.2	Internal-external Analysis of TPPAS Legok Nangka Cooperation	151
Table 7.1	Estimation Results: All Households in Urban Areas from 1993 to 2014	162
Table 7.2	Robustness Check	164
Table 8.1	Important Actions of Thai Government for Municipal Solid Waste Management Between 2014 and 2019	168

## List of Abbreviations

3Rs reduce, reuse, recycle

AIC Akaike Information Criterion

APBD regional revenue and expenditure budget
APBN central government budget and expenditure
ASEAN Association of Southeast Asian Nations

ASEAN Association of Southeast Asian Na BOO build—own—operate

BOT build-operate-transfer

BPSR Agency for Regional Waste Management

BTO build-transfer-operate
DBO design-build-operate

DENR Department of Environment and Natural Resources

EFAS External Factors Analysis

EMB Environmental Management Bureau

EWSM Ecological Solid Waste Management Board

IFAS Internal Factors Analysis

IFLS Indonesian Family Life Survey
IMC inter-municipal cooperation

KDN negative impact compensation fee

KJP service compensation fee

LAL Local Autonomy Law

LAO local administrative organisation

LGU local government unit

MIC Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications

MOA memorandum of agreement
MOE Ministry of the Environment
MRF materials recovery facility
MSW municipal solid waste
NIMBY Not in My Back Yard

NSWMC National Solid Waste Management Commission

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

OLS ordinary least squares
PAA partial affairs association

PERHUTANI Indonesia State Forest Enterprise

PFI private finance initiatives
PPP public—private partnership

RA Republic Act

RDF refuse-derived fuel

RWM regional waste management

SLF sanitary landfill

SWOT strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, threats

TAO Tambon administrative organisation

TIEZA Tourism Infrastructure and Enterprise Zone Authority

TPA final disposal site

TPPAS waste treatment and final processing site

US United States
WTE waste-to-energy
WU wider-area union